March 13, 2017

The Honorable Donald J. Trump
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW,
Washington, D.C. 20502

Dear Mr. President:

RE: PROPOSED TERMINATION OF FUNDING TO “SANCTUARY” JURISDICTIONS UNDER EO 13768 IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL

Dear Mr. President:

The undersigned 292 constitutional, immigration, administrative law, and international law professors and scholars write to share our legal conclusion that section 9(a) of Executive Order 13768 (“EO 13768”), which directs the federal government to withhold federal funding from states, counties, and cities with “sanctuary” policies, is unconstitutional. Consequently, we strongly urge your Administration to rescind section 9(a) of EO 13768.

There is no single legal definition of “sanctuary cities” or “sanctuary” jurisdictions. The term has been used to tarnish or celebrate (depending on the speaker) laws, ordinances, or policies that states, cities, and counties have opted to disentangle them from federal immigration enforcement. On January 25, 2017, you signed EO 13768, which directs the Attorney General and Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to designate jurisdictions who willfully do not comply with 8 U.S.C. § 1373 as “sanctuary jurisdictions,” identify the federal grants administered to those jurisdictions, and withhold funds to punish jurisdictions that do not rescind their policies. Based on our legal analysis of EO 13768, 8 U.S.C. § 1373, the U.S. Constitution, and relevant Supreme Court precedent, we conclude that terminating federal funding from these jurisdictions in order to coerce them to rescind their “sanctuary” policies violates the Tenth Amendment, exceeds the federal government’s powers under the Spending Clause, and exceeds the president’s powers under Article II.

I. JURISDICTIONS HAVE INHERENT CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO PROMULGATE “SANCTUARY” POLICIES

When states, cities, and counties promulgate “sanctuary” policies, they are exercising their reserved constitutional authority under the Tenth Amendment to promote the health, safety, and welfare of their residents. At their core, “sanctuary” policies are decisions by state and local governments about state and local priorities, particularly law enforcement priorities. Many of these policies offer the protections of equal treatment, privacy and confidentiality to

---

1 All institutional affiliations are for identification purposes only and do not signify institutional endorsement of this letter.
community members. Some limit affirmatively sharing an individual’s immigration status, release date, or other immigration information except as required by law; others direct local law enforcement to refrain from asking victims and witnesses about immigration status; still others prohibit local authorities from investigating, arresting, or detaining individuals on immigration-related grounds. Importantly, “sanctuary” policies do not prevent federal immigration authorities from entering local jurisdictions and detaining, arresting, or deporting immigrants using federal resources and officers. More than 600 counties limit the use of their resources for the purposes of immigration enforcement and could conceivably be considered as having “sanctuary” policies.

II. “Sanctuary” Policies Do Not Violate 8 U.S.C. § 1373

As an initial matter, we believe that 8 U.S.C. § 1373 is unconstitutional as commandeering under the Tenth Amendment, a contention at the center of the legal challenge brought by the city of San Francisco. However, even if 8 U.S.C. § 1373 is constitutional, “sanctuary” policies do not violate 8 U.S.C. § 1373. The plain text of 8 U.S.C. § 1373 covers only information about citizenship or immigration status—not other information—and does not require state and local actors to collect any information regarding immigration status. 8 U.S.C. § 1373 only prohibits restrictions on the sharing of information that is collected. Under 8 U.S.C. § 1373, state and local jurisdictions “may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to” the federal government “information regarding the citizenship or immigration status . . . of an individual” or restrict the “[m]aintaining [of] such information.” Further, nothing in 8 U.S.C. § 1373 requires jurisdictions to prolong the detention of individuals otherwise entitled to release to comply with an immigration detainer. Thus, “sanctuary” policies that direct local law enforcement agencies to refrain from collecting immigration information or to decline detainers requesting prolonged detention requests do not violate 8
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5 See Ilya Somin, Why Trump’s executive order on sanctuary cities is unconstitutional, WASHINGTON POST, Jan. 26, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/volokh-conspiracy/wp/2017/01/26/constitutional-problems-with-trumps-executive-order-on-sanctuary-cities/ (“As Scalia put it in the same opinion, federal law violates the Tenth Amendment if it ‘requires [state employees] to provide information that belongs to the State and is available to them only in their official capacity.’ The same is true if, as in the case of Section 1373, the federal government tries to prevent states from controlling their employees’ use of information that ‘is available to them only in their official capacity.’”).
6 Compl. ¶ 75, San Francisco v. Trump (N.D. Cal. 2017) (“Section 1373(a) unconstitutionally regulates ‘States in their sovereign capacity.’” (citing Reno v. Condon, 528 U.S. 141, 151 (2000).”)).
7 Unfortunately, the Executive Order has already unconstitutionally coerced at least one jurisdiction. The Mayor and county commission of Miami-Dade recently ordered jails to comply with federal immigration detainers in response to EO 13768. See Alan Gomez, Miami-Dade Commission votes to end county’s ‘sanctuary’ status, Feb. 17, 2017, USA TODAY http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2017/02/17/miami-dade-county-grapples-sanctuary-city-president-trump-threat/98050976/. Not only does the mayor and commission’s order direct local jails to violate the Fourth Amendment by complying with warrantless detainer requests, but such directive is unnecessary because the Miami-Dade ordinance, like sanctuary policies in general, does not violate 8 U.S.C. § 1373. Moreover, the mayor and county’s decision instead open Miami-Dade to legal liability in light of extensive federal court decisions that warrantless detainers are unconstitutional.
10 See id.

Moreover, to the extent your administration purports to impose additional requirements beyond 8 U.S.C. § 1373 by promulgating EO 13768, such action exceeds the authority granted to the Executive under Article II to “take care that the laws be faithfully executed.”

Importantly, 8 U.S.C. § 1373 cannot, in any event, be the basis for the executive branch to create new conditions on federal grants.

III. The Executive’s Attempted Imposition of New Conditions on Grants Exceeds the Federal Government’s Spending Clause Authority

Longstanding Supreme Court precedent interpreting Congress’s Spending Clause power mandates that the federal government may not impose conditions on grants to states and localities unless the conditions are “unambiguously” stated “so that the States can knowingly decide whether or not to accept those funds.”

Few if any federal grants to “sanctuary” cities are explicitly conditioned on compliance with 8 U.S.C. § 1373. Any such conditions must be approved by Congress and can only be applied prospectively on new grants, not retroactively to grants that have already been disbursed. The executive cannot simply make up new conditions on its own and impose them on state and local governments.

Moreover, any spending conditions must be germane to the “federal interest in [the] particular [] project or program[.]”

EO 13768’s attempt to reach funding streams unrelated to immigration enforcement would violate that requirement. Finally, the federal government may not use its Spending Clause power to induce state and local government action that is itself unconstitutional. Any attempt to induce states and localities to violate constitutional rights—for example, by unlawfully holding individuals on immigration detainers—would be an “illegitimate exercise of the [Spending Clause] . . . power.”

These limits on the federal government’s spending clause powers are not mere technicalities. If the President could make up new conditions on federal grants without specific, advance congressional authorization, impose them on funding streams wholly unrelated to immigration enforcement, and induce local actors to engage in actions that are themselves unconstitutional, it would create chaos in our constitutional system. Such an executive power-grab would also usurp Congress’s legislative powers. It is Congress, not the president, which has the constitutional authority to attach conditions to federal grants.

IV. Withholding Federal Funding to “Sanctuary” Jurisdictions is Unconstitutional Under Tenth Amendment

Nor can the executive branch use the threat of withholding federal funding to coerce states and
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localities to rescind “sanctuary” policies. EO 13768 directs the Office of Management and Budget to catalogue “all Federal grant money that is currently received by any “sanctuary” jurisdiction.” Among others, the federal government administers a variety of law-enforcement grants to state and local jurisdictions under U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) programs, including through Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants, State Criminal Alien Assistance Program, and Office of Community Oriented Policing Services. These grants are critical in the funding of public safety, crime victim and witness initiatives, and drug treatment and enforcement. The executive branch cannot, consistent with the Tenth Amendment, threaten to cut off these law-enforcement grants, much less the myriad other federal grants that states and cities receive, in order to coerce “sanctuary” jurisdictions to comply with EO 13768’s directives.

Critically, the Tenth Amendment prohibits the federal government from “commandeering” state and local government by simply forcing them to enforce federal law. In a case involving federal commands to state and local law enforcement, the Supreme Court held in Printz v. United States that the “Federal Government may not compel the States to enact or administer a federal regulatory program.” The federal government can no more command a “sanctuary jurisdiction to implement the executive’s deportation policy than it can command a state legislature to enact a statute or a state executive official to conduct background checks on gun purchasers. Forcing counties and cities to allocate local resources, including police officers, technology, and personnel, to enforce federal immigration law by detaining immigrants, to collect and share immigration information, or otherwise participate in immigration enforcement runs afoul of the Supreme Court’s clear prohibition on commandeering. Consequently, neither Congress nor your Administration can force “sanctuary” jurisdictions to enforce federal immigration law by directing them to rescind their “sanctuary” policies.

Importantly, there is no exception to the Tenth Amendment that allows federal statutes and regulations to mandate the disclosure of private information about residents gathered by sanctuary jurisdictions in their sovereign capacity. In Reno v. Condon the Supreme Court found that requiring information sharing is permissible under the Tenth Amendment only when it “does not require [states] to enact any laws or regulations, and it does not require state officials to assist in the enforcement of federal statutes regulating private individuals.” Here, the stated goal of EO 13768 is to “employ all lawful means to enforce the immigration laws of the United States” and obtaining the immigration status of individuals is an obvious effort to

19 Id. at 898-900.
enforce federal immigration law.

The federal government may, of course, “induce” state and local actors to cooperate with federal policymakers by “offer[ing] funds to the States, and . . . condition[ing] those offers on compliance with specified conditions.” The use of federal funds to “induce” jurisdictions, however, is not absolute. In 2012, the Supreme Court held that when the federal government “threatens to terminate other significant independent grants as a means of pressuring the States to accept” a federal policy, that threat can become coercive, and therefore, unconstitutional. In *NFIB*, the Court concluded that directing a cabinet Secretary, in that case the Secretary of Health and Human Services, to penalize States that did not participate in the Medicaid expansion by stripping their existing Medicaid funding, “threatened loss of over 10 percent” of the State’s budget and constituted “economic dragooning.” States had, in the words of the Court, “no real option but to acquiesce in the Medicaid expansion.” Moreover, the new federal policy accomplished a “shift in kind, not merely degree,” in the terms of the grant, one that states “could hardly anticipate.”

Here, the federal government provides a variety of grants and funding streams, virtually none of which, as previously stated, require jurisdictions to engage in enforcement of federal immigration law. Directing DOJ to withhold this funding unconstitutionally alters the bargain the states and cities agreed to by imposing additional, burdensome—and previously unannounced—requirements that amount to coercion.

Moreover, the scope of the grants and their intersection in a variety of different state and local programs leave jurisdictions “with no real option but to acquiesce.” Santa Clara (CA), San Francisco (CA), Chicago (IL), Providence (RI), Denver (CO), New York City (NY) would all lose approximately 10% or more of their budgets if federal funds were withheld, similar to the amount judged to be an unconstitutional coercion in *NFIB*. Certain jurisdictions would lose even more, with Washington, D.C. losing upwards of 25% of its budget, substantially more than the 10% loss contemplated in *NFIB*.

---

25 Id. at 2566.
26 Id. at 2566-67.
27 Id. at 2574.
28 Id.
29 Id.
30 Id.
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For all of the reasons above, we strongly urge your Administration to rescind section 9(a) of EO 13768. Thank you for considering the above legal analysis. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact Jose Magaña-Salgado of the Immigrant Legal Resource Center at 202-777-8999 or jmagana@ilrc.org.

Sincerely,

Annie Lai
Assistant Clinical Professor of Law
UC Irvine School of Law

Bill Ong Hing
Professor
University of San Francisco School of Law

Christopher N. Lasch
Associate Professor
University of Denver Sturm College of Law

Dale Carpenter
Judge William Hawley Atwell Chair of Constitutional Law
SMU Dedman School of Law

Erwin Chemerinsky
Distinguished Professor of Law
University of California, Irvine School of Law

Ilya Somin
Professor of Law
George Mason University

Seth Davis
Assistant Professor of Law
University of California, Irvine School of Law

Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia
Samuel Weiss Faculty Scholar and Clinical Professor of Law
Penn State Law at University Park

A. Naomi Paik
Assistant Professor
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Adam S. Zimmerman
Professor of Law
Loyola Law School, Los Angeles

Alan Hyde
Distinguished Professor
Rutgers University

Alexa Koenig
JD, PhD, Lecturer and Executive Director, Human Rights Center
UC Berkeley School of Law

Alina Das
Associate Professor of Clinical Law
New York University School of Law

Allyson Gold
Rodin Visiting Clinical Professor of Law
Loyola University Chicago School of Law

Amna Akbar
Assistant Professor
The Ohio State University, Moritz College of Law

Ana Potratz Acosta
Assistant Teaching Professor
Mitchell Hamline School of Law

Andrea Parra
Practitioner-in-Residence, Immigrant Justice Clinic
American University - Washington College of Law

Andrea Ramos
Clinical Professor of Law
Southwestern Law School Immigration Law Clinic

Andrew Moore
Associate Professor of Law
University of Detroit Mercy School of Law

Andrew T. Kim
Associate Professor
Syracuse University College of Law

Anil Kalhan
Associate Professor of Law
Drexel University Kline School of Law

Anita Maddali
Associate Professor of Law & Director of Clinics
Northern Illinois University College of Law

Anju Gupta
Associate Professor of Law & Director of the Immigrant Rights Clinic
Rutgers Law School

Anna Cabot
Davis Clinical Teaching Fellow
University of Connecticut

Anna Welch
Clinical Professor
University of Maine School of Law

Anthony Paul Farley
James Campbell Matthews Distinguished Professor of Jurisprudence
Albany Law School

Anthony Thompson
Professor of Clinical Law
New York University School of Law

Arthur S. Leonard
Professor of Law
New York Law School

Ayodele Gansallo
Adjunct Lecturer in Law
University of Pennsylvania Law School, Transnational Legal Clinic

Barbara A Schwartz
Emeritus Clinical Professor
University of Iowa College of Law

Barbara Hines
Clinical Professor of Law (retired)
University of Texas School of Law

Benjamin G. Davis
Professor of Law
University of Toledo College of Law

Beryl Blaustone
Professor of Law
CUNY School of Law

Beth Lyon
Clinical Professor of Law
Cornell Law School

Bram T.B. Elias
Clinical Associate Professor
University of Iowa College of Law

Britton Schwartz
Clinical Fellow
UC Berkeley School of Law

C. Mario Russell
Director, Immigrant and Refugee Services, Catholic Charities, NY
St. John's University School of Law

Caroline Mala Corbin
Professor of Law
University of Miami School of Law

Carolyn Patty Blum
Interim Faculty Director, Human Rights and Atrocity Prevention Clinic
Cardozo Law School

Catherine Y. Kim
Associate Professor of Law
University of North Carolina School of Law

Cedric Merlin Powell
Professor of Law
University of Louisville Brandeis School of Law

César Cuauhtémoc García Hernández
Assistant Professor of Law
University of Denver

Christian Sundquist
Professor of Law
Albany Law School

Christopher J. Roederer
Professor of Law
Florida Coastal School of Law

Claire R. Thomas
Adjunct Professor of Law
New York Law School

Craig B. Futterman
Clinical Professor of Law
University of Chicago Law School

D. Bruce La Pierre
Professor
Washington University Law School

Dan Smulian
Associate Professor of Clinical Law
Brooklyn Law School

Dania Lopez Beltran
Clinical Supervisor
East Bay Community Law Center, Clinic of Berkeley Law

Daniel Kanstroom
Professor of Law
Boston College

Daniel M. Kowalski
Attorney / Editor
Bender's Immigration Bulletin (LexisNexis)

David B. Thronson
Professor of Law and Associate Dean for Experiential Education
Michigan State University College of Law

David Baluarte
Associate Clinical Professor of Law
Washington & Lee University

David M. Driesen
University Professor
Syracuse University College of Law

David S. Cohen
Professor of Law
Drexel University Thomas R. Kline School of Law

Debora M. Ortega
Professor
University of Denver

Deborah M. Weissman
Reef C. Ivey II Distinguished Professor of Law
University of North Carolina School of Law

Deborah S. Gonzalez, Esq.
Director of the Immigration Clinic and Associate Clinical Professor
Roger Williams University School of Law

Denise Gilman
Clinical Professor of Law
University of Texas Law School Immigration Clinic

Diana Kearney
Lecturer
Cardozo Law School

Diane Uchimiya
Professor
Justice and Immigration Clinic, University of La Verne College of Law

Dina Francesca Haynes
Professor of Law, Director Human Rights and Immigration Law Project
New England Law|Boston

Doron M. Kalir
Clinical Professor of Law
Cleveland-Marshall College of Law - Cleveland State University

Douglas L. Colbert
Professor
Maryland King Carey School of Law

Dr. Neil H. Cogan
Professor and Former Dean
Whittier College School of Law

Dree K. Collopy
Lecturer
The Catholic University of America Columbus School of Law

Ediberto Roman
Professor of Law
Florida International University

Eduardo R.C. Capulong
Professor of Law
University of Montana Alexander Blewett III School of Law

Elena L. Cohen
Adjunct Associate Professor
John Jay College of Criminal Justice

Elisabeth Wickeri
Adjunct Professor; Executive Director, Leitner Center for International Law and Justice
Fordham Law School

Elise C. Boddie
Professor of Law, Henry Rutgers University Professor
Rutgers Law School

Elissa Steglich
Clinical Professor
University of Texas School of Law, Immigration Clinic

Elizabeth B. Cooper
Associate Professor
Fordham University School of Law

Elizabeth Keyes
Assistant Professor, Director of the Immigrant Rights Clinic
University of Baltimore School of Law

Elizabeth M. Iglesias
Professor of Law
University of Miami School of Law

Elizabeth McCormick
Associate Clinical Professor of Law
The University of Tulsa College of Law

Elizabeth Thornburg
Richard R. Lee Endowed Professor of Law
SMU Dedman School of Law

Elora Mukherjee
Associate Clinical Professor of Law
Columbia Law School

Elvia R. Arriola
Professor Emerita
Northern Illinois University

Emily Benfer
Clinical Professor of Law
Loyola University Chicago School of Law

Emily L Robinson
Co-Director
Loyola Immigrant Justice Clinic, Loyola Law School Los Angeles

Enid Trucios-Haynes
Professor of Law
Louis D. Brandeis School of Law

Eric Blumenson
Research professor of Law
Suffolk University Law School

Erica Schommer
Clinical Assistant Professor of Law
St. Mary's University School of Law

Ericka Curran
Professor of Clinical Skills
Florida Coastal School of Law
Erin Jacobsen  
Assistant Professor/Supervising Attorney  
Vermont Law School

Estelle McKee  
Clinical Professor  
Cornell Law School

Farrin Anello  
Visiting Assistant Clinical Professor  
Seton Hall University School of Law Center for Social Justice

Francine J. Lipman  
William S. Boyd Professor of Law  
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Francisco J. Rivera Juaristi  
Director  
Santa Clara University - International Human Rights Clinic

Frank E Deale  
Professor of Law  
CUNY Law School

Gabor Rona  
Visiting Professor of Law  
Cardozo Law School

Gabriel J. Chin  
Edward L. Barrett Jr. Chair & Martin Luther King Jr. Professor of Law  
UC Davis School of Law

Galya Ben-Arieh  
Director, Center for Forced Migration Studies  
Northwestern University

Gemma Solimene  
Clinical Associate Professor of Law  
Fordham University School of Law

Geoffrey A. Hoffman  
Director-UHLC Immigration Clinic  
University of Houston Law Center
Geoffrey Heeren  
Associate Professor  
Valparaiso University Law School  

George Bach  
Visiting Professor  
University of New Mexico School of Law  

Gerald Frug  
Professor of Law  
Harvard University  

Gilbert Paul Carrasco  
Professor of Law  
Willamette University  

H. Allen Blair  
Robins Kaplan Distinguished Professor of Law  
Mitchell Hamline School of Law  

H. Marissa Montes  
Co-Director/Clinical Attorney  
Loyola Law School- Immigrant Justice Clinic  

Heidi Kitrosser  
Professor  
University of Minnesota  

Hemanth Gundavaram  
Associate Teaching Professor  
Northeastern University School of Law  

Henry J. Richardson III  
Professor of Law  
Temple Law School  

Hillary B. Farber  
Associate Professor  
University of Massachusetts  

Howard F. Chang  
Earle Hepburn Professor of Law  
University of Pennsylvania Law School
Jayashri Srikantiah  
Professor of Law & Director, Immigrants' Rights Clinic  
Stanford Law School

Jean Stefancic  
Professor & Clement Research Affiliate  
University of Alabama School of Law

Jeffrey D. Kahn  
Professor of Law  
SMU Dedman School of Law

Jeffrey M. Gaba  
Professor  
SMU Dedman School of Law

Jeffrey Selbin  
Clinical Professor of Law  
UC Berkeley School of Law

Jennifer A. Gundlach  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Maurice A. Deane School of Law, Hofstra University

Jennifer Gordon  
Professor of Law  
Fordham University School of Law

Jennifer J. Lee  
Clinical Assistant Professor of Law  
Temple University Beasley School of Law

Jennifer Kowski-Dahlberg  
Adjunct  
Mitchell Hamline School of Law

Jennifer Lee Koh  
Professor of Law and Director, Immigration Clinic  
Western State College of Law

Jennifer M. Chacon  
Professor of Law  
U.C. Irvine School of Law
Jennifer Moore  
Professor of Law  
University of New Mexico School of Law  

Jessica Emerson  
Director, Human Trafficking Prevention Project  
The University of Baltimore School of Law  

Joanna L. Grossman  
Ellen K. Solender Endowed Chair in Women and the Law  
SMU Dedman School of Law  

JoAnne Sweeny  
Associate Professor  
University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law  

Johanna K.P. Dennis  
Visiting Professor  
Northeastern University School of Law  

John A. Scanlan  
Professor Emeritus  
Maurer School of Law, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN  

John R.B. Palmer  
Marie Curie Research Fellow  
Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain  

John Willshire Carrera  
Lecturer on Law - Harvard Immigration and Refugee Clinic/GBLS  
Harvard Law School  

Jonathan Kahn  
James E. Kelley Professor of Law  
Mitchell Hamline School of Law  

Jorge R. Roig  
Associate Professor of Law  
Charleston School of Law  

José Roberto Juárez, Jr.  
Professor of Law  
University of Denver Sturm College of Law
Joseph D. Harbaugh  
Professor Emeritus and Dean Emeritus  
Nova Southeastern College of Law

Julie Dahlstrom  
Clinical Instructor  
Boston University School of Law

Julie Greenwald Marzouk  
Assistant Clinical Professor  
Chapman University Fowler School of Law

Julie K. Waterstone  
Associate Dean for Experiential Learning  
Southwestern Law School

Juliet P. Stumpf  
Robert E. Jones Professor of Advocacy and Ethics  
Lewis & Clark Law School

Jyoti Nanda  
Binder Clinical Teaching Fellow  
UCLA School of Law

Kaci Bishop  
Clinical Associate Professor of Law  
University of North Carolina School of Law

Karen Brown  
Professor of Law  
George Washington University Law School

Karen Musalo  
Professor  
U.C. Hastings, College of the Law

Karen Pita Loor  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
Boston University Law School

Kate Aschenbrenner Rodriguez  
Associate Professor, Immigration Clinic  
Barry University Dwayne O. Andreas School of Law
Kate Evans
Associate Professor of Law
University of Idaho College of Law

Kate Griffith
Associate Professor
Cornell ILR School

Katherine Kaufka Walts
Director, Center for the Human Rights of Children
Loyola University Chicago

Kathleen Kim
Professor of Law
Loyola Law School Los Angeles

Kathryn Abrams
Herma Hill Kay Distinguished Professor of Law
UC-Berkeley School of Law

Katie Eyer
Associate Professor
Rutgers Law School

Ken Port
Professor of Law
Mitchell Hamline School of Law

Kent Greenfield
Professor of Law and Law School Fund Distinguished Scholar
Boston College Law School

Kevin Lapp
Associate Professor of Law
Loyola Law School, Los Angeles

Kim D. Chanbonpin
Professor
The John Marshall Law School

Kim Taylor-Thompson
Professor of Clinical Law
New York University School of Law
Krista Kshatriya  
Lecturer  
UC San Diego

Kristina M. Campbell  
Professor of Law  
University of the District of Columbia David A. Clarke School of Law

L. Song Richardson  
Senior Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor of Law  
UC Irvine School of Law

Laila L. Hlass  
Professor of Practice  
Tulane University Law School

Laura A. Hernandez  
Professor of Law  
Baylor Law School

Laura Oren  
Professor Emerita  
University of Houston Law Center

Laura Rovner  
Professor of Law  
University of Denver College of Law

Laurel E. Fletcher  
Clinical Professor of Law  
UC Berkeley School of Law

Lauren Edelman  
Agnes Roddy Robb Professor of Law and Professor of Sociology  
University of California, Berkeley

Lauren Gilbert  
Professor of Law  
St. Thomas University School of Law

Lauren R. Aronson  
Assistant Professor of Professional Practice  
Louisiana State University Law Center
Laurence H. Tribe  
Carl M. Loeb University Professor and Professor of Constitutional Law  
Harvard Law School

Lenni B. Benson  
Professor of Law  
New York Law School

Leti Volpp  
Robert D. and Leslie Kay Raven Professor of Law  
UC Berkeley School of Law

Linda Bosniak  
Distinguished Professor  
Rutgers University Law School

Lindsay M. Harris  
Assistant Professor of Law  
UDC David A. Clarke School of Law

Lindsay Nash  
Visiting Assistant Clinical Professor of Law  
Immigration Justice Clinic, Cardozo School of Law

Linus Chan  
Director Detainee Rights Clinic  
University of Minnesota Law School

Loftus E. Becker, Jr.  
Professor of Law  
University of Connecticut School of Law

Lynn Marcus  
Professor of the Practice  
University of Arizona Rogers College of Law

M Isabel Medina  
Professor of Law  
Loyola University New Orleans College of Law

Madeline Y. Hsu  
Professor, History/Asian American Studies  
UT Austin
Margaret B. Kwoka  
Associate Professor  
University of Denver Sturm College of Law  

Margaret H. Taylor  
Professor of Law  
Wake Forest University School of Law  

Margaret M. deGuzman  
Associate Professor  
Temple University Beasley School of Law  

Margaret M. Flint  
Professor  
Elisabeth Haub School of Law  

Margaret Montoya  
Professor Emerita of Law  
University of New Mexico  

Maria M. Pabon  
Professor  
Loyola University New Orleans College of Law  

Maria Woltjen  
Executive Director, Young Center  
Young Center at University of Chicago Law School  

Marie A. Failinger  
Professor of Law  
Mitchell Hamline School of Law  

Marisa S. Cianciarulo  
Professor of Law  
Chapman University  

Marjorie Cohn  
Professor Emerita of Law  
Thomas Jefferson School of Law  

Mark E. Wojcik  
Professor of Law  
The John Marshall Law School
Michael Kagan
Professor
University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Michael Pappas
Associate Professor of Law
University of Maryland Carey School of Law

Michael Rooke-Ley
Emeritus Professor of Constitutional Law
Nova Southeastern University College of Law

Michelle Mckinley
Bernard B. Kliks Associate Professor of Law
University of Oregon School of Law

Mike Steenson
Bell Distinguished Professor of Law
Mitchell Hamline School of Law

Ming H. Chen
Associate Professor
University of Colorado Law School

Miriam H Marton
Director, Tulsa Immigrant Resource Network
University of Tulsa College of Law

Muneer Ahmad
Clinical Professor of Law
Yale Law School

Nancy Kelly
Lecturer on Law - Harvard Immigration and Refugee Clinic/GBLS
Harvard Law School

Nancy Morawetz
Professor of Clinical Law
NYU School of Law

Natalie Nanasi
Assistant Professor
SMU Dedman School of Law
Richard A. Boswell  
Professor of Law  
University of California, Hastings College of Law  

Richard A. Wilson  
Professor  
University of Connecticut School of Law  

Richard Delgado  
John J. Sparkman Chair of Law  
University of Alabama School of Law  

Richard H. Frankel  
Associate Professor  
Drexel University Thomas R. Kline School of Law  

Richard Zitrin  
Lecturer in Law  
Univ. of California, Hastings  

Rick Su  
Professor of Law  
University at Buffalo School of Law  

Roberto L. Corrada  
Professor & Mulligan Burleson Chair in Modern Learning  
University of Denver Sturm College of Law  

Ron Beal  
Professor  
Baylor Law School  

Rose Cuisin-Villazor  
Professor of Law  
UC Davis School of Law  

Rubén G. Rumbaut  
Distinguished Professor  
University of California, Irvine  

Ruben Garcia  
Professor of Law  
University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Ruqaiijah Yearby
Professor of Law
Case Western Reserve University School of Law

Sabrineh Ardalan
Lecturer on Law
Harvard Law School

Sally B Frank
Professor of Law
Drake University

Sam Myers
Adjunct Professor of Law
University of Minnesota School of Law

Sarah Rogerson
Associate Professor of Law; Director, Immigration Law Clinic
Albany Law School

Sarah Sherman-Stokes
Clinical Instructor
Boston University School of Law

Scott Cummings
Robert Henigson Professor of Legal Ethics
UCLA School of Law

Scott Michelman
Adjunct Professor
American University Washington College of Law

Shana Tabak
Visiting Assistant Professor
Georgia State University

Sheila I. Velez Martinez
Jack and Lovell Olender Professor of Asylum Refugee and Immigration Law
University of Pittsburgh School of Law

Snehal Shingavi
Associate Professor, English
University of Texas at Austin
Stacy Caplow
Professor of Law
Brooklyn Law School

Stella Burch Elias
Associate Professor
University of Iowa College of Law

Stephen Cody
Visiting Assistant Professor
University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law

Stephen Legomsky
John S. Lehmann University Professor Emeritus
Washington University School of Law

Stephen Yale-Loehr
Professor of Immigration Law Practice
Cornell Law School

Steven W. Bender
Professor and Associate Dean of Research and Faculty Development
Seattle University School of Law

Stewart L. Chang
Associate Professor of Law and Director of the Center for International and Comparative Law
Whittier Law School

Sudha Setty
Professor of Law
Western New England University School of Law

Sunita Patel
Practitioner in Residence
American University Washington College of Law

Susan Bryant
Professor
CUNY School of Law

Susan Coutin
Professor
UC Irvine
Susan D. Bennett  
Professor  
American University Washington College of Law  

Susan I. Nelson  
Adjunct Professor  
Baylor University School of Law  

Susan R. Gzesh  
Senior Lecturer & Executive Director, Pozen Center for Human Rights, University of Chicago  
University of Chicago  

Susan V. Hazeldean  
Assistant Professor of Law  
Brooklyn Law School  

Theo L. Cuison  
Staff Attorney and Clinical Supervisor  
East Bay Community Law Center - Immigration Unit  

Theo Liebmann  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Hofstra Law School  

Thomas J. Davis, PhD, JD  
Professor  
Arizona State Univ., Tempe  

Tom I Romero II  
Associate Professor of Law and Affiliated Faculty of History  
University of Denver  

Tomar Pierson-Brown Clinical Assistant  
Professor of Law  
University of Pittsburgh School of Law  

Ulysses Jaen  
Director & Asst. Prof.  
Ave Maria School of Law  

Verna L. Williams  
Judge Joseph P. Kineaney Professor of Law and Co-Director, Center for Race, Gender, and Social Justice  
University of Cincinnati College of Law  

31
Veronica T. Thronson
Clinical Professor of Law
Michigan State University College of Law Immigration Law Clinic

Victor Romero
Maureen B. Cavanaugh Distinguished Faculty Scholar, Professor of Law & Associate Dean of Academic Affairs
Penn State Law at University Park

Victoria Neilson
Adjunct Professor
CUNY

Vinay Harpalani
Associate Professor of Law
Savannah Law School

Violeta R. Chapin
Clinical Professor of Law
University of Colorado Law School

William J. Bridge
Associate Professor of Law
Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law

William Quigley
Professor
Loyola University New Orleans College of Law

Yolanda Vazquez
Associate Professor of Law
University of Cincinnati College of Law

Zhulmira Paredes
Adjunct Professor
John Marshall Law School